

September 22, 2008

Thomasenia Duncan, Esq.
General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Complaint against Jeb Bradley and The Jeb Bradley for Congress Committee

Dear Ms. Duncan,

I write this letter to file a complaint pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1) against Jeb Bradley, a candidate for the 1st Congressional District in the state of New Hampshire ("1st Congressional District"), and his campaign committee, The Jeb Bradley for Congress Committee (collectively, "Bradley").

Bradley has violated the Commission's disclaimer requirements for television communications. In a television advertisement that is airing on at least one television station in the 1st Congressional District, Bradley failed to include a written statement at the end of the television communication stating that candidate Bradley approved the advertisement and that Bradley paid for the advertisement. Because paying for a television communication that does not include the required written statement at the end of the communication represents a violation of federal law, the Commission should act immediately to investigate this matter and impose penalties against Bradley.

STATEMENT FACT

Bradley has paid for a television advertisement that is airing on at least one television station in the 1st Congressional District. The advertisement, titled "Vacation", is available here: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v...> and on Bradley's website here: <http://www.jebforcongress.com/...>

While a written disclaimer appears on screen at the beginning of the advertisement, no written disclaimer appears on screen at the end of the television communication indicating that Bradley paid for the advertisement or that the message was approved by candidate Bradley.

ARGUMENT

When a candidate authorizes a television communication, he must include a written statement indicating that he approved the communication at the end of the television communication. 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(3)(iii) (emphasis added.)

The purpose of the law is to require candidates to demonstrate their support for the statements made in advertisements after the communications have been made. Rather than obey the law and take responsibility for his ad as required by law, Bradley violated §110.11(c)(3)(iii).

For these reasons, the Commission should immediately find reason to believe that Bradley violated the law, and seek civil penalties commensurate with the amounts spent on the advertising.

Sincerely,

Raymond Buckley
New Hampshire Democratic Party Chair