Sent: Fri, 11 Jun 2021 21:22:22 +0000

To:

Braverman, Adam L. (ODAG) (JMD) (b)(6): (b)(7)(C): (b)(7)(F)

Armstrong, Jennifer (USMS); Dunham, Timothy M. (TD) (FBI); MacAllister, Hope A. (ATF); Celaya, Kayla (USMS); Mills, Meredith (USMS); Luckstone, Charlotte (USMS); Kelly, Richard (USMS); (b)(6): (b)(7)(C): (b)(7)(F)

Kelly, Stephen D. (OGC) (FBI); Coburn, Jeffrey L. (OTD) (FBI); Gleason, Robert (Chris); Kaye, Ryan S.

(ATF);russ.burnett@gsa.gov;Nance, Tennille (JMD)

Cc: Kumar, Amit (JMD); Vanderplow, Paul D (ATF); Ashton, Robin (JMD); Dickinson, Lisa (USMS); Perese, Dogan A. (ITADD) (FBI); Behning, Roy T. (OTD) (FBI); Flowers, Christopher R. (CID) (FBI); Ramsay, Sara (RPO) (FBI); Dalton, Ashley L.

Subject: BWC Evaluation Follow up

Good afternoon,

Last month, we had a good initial discussion on evaluating the roll out of Body-Worn Cameras across DOJ law enforcement components. At that time, it was agreed that it would be best to see the Department's policy prior to developing the evaluation questions and determining evaluation metrics and collection. Earlier this week, DAG Monaco issued the Department's Body-Worn Camera Policy. This policy articulates areas that component policies shall address, and it also requires components to, "Design evaluation metrics that can be used to measure the impact of its BWC policy."

Now that the policy is out, we need to be proactive to ensure that the evaluation metrics developed enable us to learn as much as possible. Our goal is to systematically collect data across the 4 law enforcement components to ensure that the data can be combined to create a coherent picture for policy makers at the Department level and the component level, and allow the components to learn from each other, about:

- · what works best,
- potential tradeoffs for future decisions on how best to roll out the program nationwide, and
- ultimately to ensure that the program provides the transparency to maximize the evidentiary value, the accountability that is being sought, and trust with the communities we serve.

We want to protect against collecting data in a fragmented way that becomes anecdotal or "suggestive data" and to ensure that we have a systematic approach that allows us to detect trends and patterns and draw conclusions of lessons learned that will strengthen the program and the support of the program across DOJ and its stakeholders.

To that effect, we ask each component to think about what metrics to collect around each of the areas outlined in the Department's policy (see below) and how they plan on collecting that data. We plan on having a meeting the week of June 21 to discuss potential metrics and data collection methods.

We look forward to working with all of you on the evaluation of this important program.

Robin

DOJ Body-Worn Policy Camera Policy

Each component's BWC policy shall include:

- the responsibilities for Department agents to carry, operate, maintain, and secure the equipment, including when to activate and deactivate BWCs;
- the type(s) of BWC equipment authorized for use;
- the duration of time and scope of the BWC footage preserved prior to its activation (i.e., buffering period);
- specialized or sensitive investigative techniques or equipment that may require different treatment under the BWC policy;
- procedures governing the collection, storage, access, retention, use, and dissemination of BWC recordings, consistent with applicable federal laws;
- procedures governing the use of BWCs by all members of Department-sponsored task forces;
- procedures for the expedited public release of recordings in cases involving serious bodily injury or death.

Robin Funston
DOJ Deputy Evaluation Officer,
Director, Strategic Planning and Evaluation Staff

Sent: Mon, 28 Jun 2021 17:54:23 +0000

To:

Braverman, Adam L. (ODAG) (JMD); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F)

Armstrong, Jennifer (USMS); Dunham, Timothy M. (TD) (FBI); MacAllister, Hope A. (ATF); Celaya, Kayla (USMS); Mills, Meredith (USMS); Luckstone, Charlotte (USMS); Kelly, Richard (USMS); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F)

Kelly, Stephen D. (OGC) (FBI); Coburn, Jeffrey L. (OTD) (FBI); Gleason, Robert (Chris); Kaye, Ryan S.

(ATF);russ.burnett@gsa.gov;Nance, Tennille (JMD)

Cc: Kumar, Amit (JMD); Vanderplow, Paul D (ATF); Dickinson, Lisa (USMS); Perese, Dogan A. (ITADD) (FBI); Behning, Roy T. (OTD) (FBI); Flowers, Christopher R. (CID) (FBI); Ramsay, Sara (RPO) (FBI) (D)(C): (D

Subject: BWC Evaluation: Process for Developing Evaluation Priorities and Data

Collection Opportunities

Attachments: BWC slides 2021-06-28 (002).pptx

We want to thank everyone for participating in today's discussion. Attached are the slides from today. Please let us know who you're 2 or 3 component POCs are for the BWC evaluation. We will be in touch with them to schedule your component specific meeting after the July 4th week. In the meantime, please feel free to reach out to me on any issues in developing your component specific and/or department evaluation questions and metrics.

Thanks again, Robin



Body-Worn Cameras:Developing Evaluation Priorities and Identifying Data Collection Opportunities June 28, 2021



Agenda

 Goals and benefits of building evaluation into Phase 1 of the BWC programHow SPPS can support a cross-component evaluation effortTypes of questions an evaluation can help answerIngredients for a successful evaluationQuestions for follow-up in individual component meetings



Goals and benefits

 Build evidence to support program and policy decisions moving forward from Phase 1Build evidence that is comparable across components, so that components can learn from one another's experiences

Types of questions an evaluation can answer

 How can the program be optimized for efficiency and effectiveness? (sooner – formative evaluation) How effective is the program in achieving its intended outcomes? (later – outcome evaluation)



Ingredients for a successful evaluation

 Measurable outcomesMetrics that are already captured in data or could be captured through new data collectionBonus: Feasibility of implementing different versions in different units



Questions for follow-up meetings

What are the hard choices going into your BWC policy? Is there
potential to test by implementing different versions in different
units? What data will be collected? What additional data could be
collected to yield measurable outcomes?

Sent: Fri, 25 Jun 2021 20:35:54 +0000

To:

Braverman, Adam L. (ODAG) (JMD); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) Armstrong, Jennifer (USMS); Dunham, Timothy M. (TD) (FBI); MacAllister, Hope A.; Celaya, Kayla (USMS); Mills, Meredith (USMS); Luckstone, Charlotte (USMS); Kelly, Richard (USMS) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) Kelly, Stephen D. (OGC) (FBI); Coburn, Jeffrey L. (OTD) (FBI); Gleason, Robert (Chris); Kaye, Ryan S.; russ.burnett@gsa.gov; Nance, Tennille (JMD)

Cc: Kumar, Amit (JMD); Vanderplow, Paul D; Ashton, Robin (JMD); Dickinson, Lisa (USMS); Perese, Dogan A. (ITADD) (FBI); Behning, Roy T. (OTD) (FBI); Flowers, Christopher R. (CID) (FBI); Ramsay, Sara (RPO) (FBI) (b)(6): (b)(7)(C): (b)(7)(F) (C): (

Collection Opportunities

Attachments: BWC Evaluation Follow up

Good afternoon, SPPS is looking forward to meeting with everyone on Monday. Below is the agenda:

Agenda

- Goals and benefits of building evaluation into Phase 1 of the BWC program
 - Build evidence to support program and policy decisions moving forward from Phase 1
 - Build evidence that is comparable across components, so that components can learn from one another's experiences
- How SPPS can support a cross-component evaluation effort
- Types of questions an evaluation can help answer
 - How can the program be optimized for efficiency and effectiveness? (sooner)
 - How effective is the program in achieving its intended outcomes? (later, but we can begin planning for it now)
- Ingredients for a successful evaluation
 - Measurable outcomes
 - Metrics that are already captured in data or could be captured through new data collection
- Questions for follow-up in individual component meetings
 - Which elements of your BWC policy are proving difficult, and can these benefit from testing?
 - Is there potential to test these by implementing different versions in different locations/offices/teams during Phase 1?
 - What data will be collected? What additional data could be collected if relevant to measurable outcomes?

Hi all, As noted in my email last week (see attached), now that the policy is out, we need to be proactive to ensure that the BWC evaluation enables us to learn as much as possible. Our goal is to systematically collect data across the 4 law enforcement components to ensure that the data can be combined to create a coherent picture for policy makers at the Department level and the component level, and allow the components to learn from each other.

Later this week, we will send out an agenda for next Monday's meeting. Thanks all, we look forward to working with you all. Robin

Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

(b) (6) United States, Washington (Toll)

Conference ID: 455 846 990#

<u>Local numbers</u> | Reset PIN | <u>Learn more about Teams</u>

Sent: Wed, 8 Sep 2021 19:10:29 +0000

To: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) Gleason, Robert (Chris)

Cc: Burnett, Russell (JMD)

Subject: FW: Call for Information on Component Planned BWC Evaluation Activities: Due

9/3

Just checking on the status of DEA's submission. Thanks so much.

```
From: Funston, Robin (JMD)

Sent: Thursday, September 2, 2021 5:07 PM

To: Armstrong, Jennifer (USMS) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Nieboer, Chad (USMS)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) @usdoj.gov>; Dunham, Timothy M.

(TD) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) MacAllister, Hope A. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Kaye, Ryan S.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Coburn, Jeffrey L. (OTD) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Gleason, Robert (Chris)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) @dea.gov>

Cc: Burnett, Russell (JMD) (b) (6)
```

Subject: RE: Call for Information on Component Planned BWC Evaluation Activities: Due 9/3

Hi all, just a quick reminder that the Call for Information on your BWC Evaluation Activities is due tomorrow, September 3. We look forward to reviewing the information and working with you on this important evaluation effort in support of the BWC initiative.

Thanks,

Robin

Subject: Call for Information on Component Planned BWC Evaluation Activities: Due 9/3

Call for Information on Component Planned BWC Evaluation Activities

On behalf of the Department's Evaluation Officer, the Strategic Planning and Performance Staff (SPPS) is requesting information about the evaluation and evidence-building activities that ATF, DEA, FBI, and

USMS are planning during Phase 1 deployment of body-worn cameras (BWCs). SPPS will use this information to:

- identify opportunities for greater coordination among the components around evaluation and evidence building;
- identify opportunities to standardize metrics and methods across the components and thus build a stronger Department-wide evidence base regarding BWCs; and
- incorporate BWC-related evidence needs and evaluation activities into the Department's firstever Learning Agenda and Annual Evaluation Plan, required by the Foundations for Evidence-Based Policymaking Act of 2018.

Please send one response p	er component for the questions below	by 09/03/2021 to Russell Burnett
(b) (6)	and Robin Funston (b) (6)	If you have any questions or
would like clarification on a	ny of the items, please contact Russell	Burnett or Robin Funston.

Thank you

Sent: Tue, 24 Aug 2021 13:25:54 +0000

To: Armstrong, Jennifer (USMS);Nieboer, Chad (USMS)(b)(b)(b)(c)(b)(7)(C)(b)(7)(F)

L;Dunham, Timothy M. (TD) (FBI);MacAllister, Hope A. (ATF);Kaye, Ryan S. (ATF);Coburn, Jeffrey L. (OTD)

(FBI); Gleason, Robert (Chris)

Cc: Burnett, Russell (JMD)

Subject: Call for Information on Component Planned BWC Evaluation Activities: Due 9/3
Attachments: Call for Information on Component Planned BWC Evaluation Activities.docx

Call for Information on Component Planned BWC Evaluation Activities

On behalf of the Department's Evaluation Officer, the Strategic Planning and Performance Staff (SPPS) is requesting information about the evaluation and evidence-building activities that ATF, DEA, FBI, and USMS are planning during Phase 1 deployment of body-worn cameras (BWCs). SPPS will use this information to:

- identify opportunities for greater coordination among the components around evaluation and evidence building;
- identify opportunities to standardize metrics and methods across the components and thus build a stronger Department-wide evidence base regarding BWCs; and
- incorporate BWC-related evidence needs and evaluation activities into the Department's firstever Learning Agenda and Annual Evaluation Plan, required by the Foundations for Evidence-Based Policymaking Act of 2018.

Please send one response per component for the questions below by 09/03/2021 to Russell Burnett (b) (6) and Robin Funston(b) (6) If you have any questions or would like clarification on any of the items, please contact Russell Burnett or Robin Funston.

Thank you

Call for Information on Component Planned BWC Evaluation Activities

On behalf of the Department's Evaluation Officer, the Strategic Planning and Performance Staff (SPPS) is requesting information about the evaluation and evidence-building activities that ATF, DEA, FBI, and USMS are planning during Phase 1 deployment of body-worn cameras (BWCs). SPPS will use this information to:

- identify opportunities for greater coordination among the components around evaluation and evidence building;
- identify opportunities to standardize metrics and methods across the components and thus build a stronger Department-wide evidence base regarding BWCs; and
- incorporate BWC-related evidence needs and evaluation activities into the Department's firstever Learning Agenda and Annual Evaluation Plan, required by the Foundations for Evidence-Based Policymaking Act of 2018.

Please send one response per component for the questions below by 09/03/2021 to Russell Burnett (b) (6) and Robin Funston (b) (6) If you have any questions or would like clarification on any of the items, please contact Russell Burnett or Robin Funston.

Component: Click or tap here to enter text.

POC Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Title: Click or tap here to enter text.

Definitions: Throughout this document, **evaluation** is defined as systematic data collection and analysis to assess the effectiveness and/or efficiency of the program (or elements of the program). The term **officer** is used to refer to law enforcement officers (LEOs) generally, including agents, deputies, and any others who will use and wear BWCs.

Evidence needs

1.	For your component, which aspects of the program would benefit from further evidence or evaluation? Several possibilities are listed below, but please make use of the "please specify" blank to list any and all questions that have arisen for your component that would benefit from				
	further data, research, or evaluation.				
	☐ How to define the policy on when cameras should be activated and deactivated				
	☐ How to train officers on BWCs				
	☐ How and when equipment should be assigned or issued				
	☐ How BWCs might affect the actions of officers in the field				
	☐ How BWCs might affect attitudes or morale of officers				

		How BWCs might affect actions of civilians during interactions with officers	
		Whether and how BWCs might promote public trust	
		How to maximize the evidentiary value of the video captured by BWCs	
		Other – please specify:	
		Click or tap here to enter text.	
Evalua	tion	plans	
2.	At this point in time, have you made specific plans for evaluation during Phase 1?		
		Yes	
		No	
3.	3. What type(s) of evaluation are planned? Please mark all that apply.		
		Process or implementation evaluation, which assesses whether the program (or an	
		aspect/element of the program) is implemented as intended or how well it is operating Impact or outcome evaluation, which measures whether the program (or an aspect/element	
	_	of the program) is achieving intended outcomes or having intended impact	
		Other – please specify:	
		Click or tap here to enter text.	
4.	of v	ring Phase 1, will your component be systematically checking the quality and completeness video captured by BWCs? By "systematically" we mean via random sampling or some other tematic method for generating reliable, representative estimates of the quality and mpleteness of video.	
		Yes	
		No	
Outcor	nes	of interest	
5.	Wł	nat outcomes will be analyzed?	
		Complaints against officers (for example, numbers of complaints, or time to resolution)	
		Tort claims (for example, numbers of claims, or time to resolution)	
		Evidentiary value of video captured by BWCs	
		Use of force or weapon discharge	
		Incident review (time or resources required)	
		Usability of cameras	
		Function/malfunction of cameras	
		Activation/deactivation of cameras (for example, whether cameras are activated and	
		deactivated according to policy)	

	Ш	Reliability/quality of stored video		
		Other – please specify:		
		Click or tap here to enter text.		
Data s	ourc	es		
6.		hat data sources will be used? This could include data collected specifically for evaluation rposes or data that would be collected anyway.		
		Complaints		
		Incident/arrest reports		
		Data on prosecutions or other legal proceedings		
		Video captured by BWCs		
		Meta-data associated with video captured by BWCs		
		On-board usage and diagnostic data from BWCs		
		Problem reports or requests for technical assistance from officers		
		Survey data obtained by actively surveying officers		
		Human resources or staffing data		
		Other – please specify:		
		Click or tap here to enter text.		
Survey	s of	officers		
7.	If y	ou will be actively surveying officers, which of the following do you plan to ask about?		
		Officer satisfaction with the program		
		Technical problems with the cameras		
		Ability to activate and deactivate the cameras as required by policy in the course of operations		
		Perceived effects of the cameras on the officer's own conduct or actions		
		Perceived effects of the cameras on conduct or actions of civilians/others		
		Perceived pros and cons of the cameras or the BWC program		
		Perceived effects of the cameras on officer safety		
		Other – please specify:		
		Click or tap here to enter text.		

From: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F)

Sent: Mon, 28 Jun 2021 15:10:37 -0400

To: Machak, Michael J. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F)

;Schaefer, Mary

B; Gleason, Robert (Chris)

Subject: FW: BWC Evaluation: Process for Developing Evaluation Priorities and Data

Collection Opportunities

Attachments: BWC slides 2021-06-28 (002).pptx

Mike:

JMD held a meeting today to discuss evaluation of the BWC program. JMD is requesting DEA POCs for the evaluation (see email below). I believe Mary will be reaching out to you on this.

Thanks.

```
Chief, Compliance Section (ADCC)

Drug Enforcement Administration

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

- Office

- Mobile

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F)

@usdoj.gov
```

```
(b)(6);
(b)(7)(C);
(b)(7)(F)
```

```
From: Funston, Robin (JMD) (b) (6)
 Sent: Monday, June 28, 2021 1:54 PM
                                                                          (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F
 To: Braverman, Adam L. (ODAG) (JMD) (b) (6)
              @dea.usdoj.gov>; Armstrong, Jennifer (USMS)(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                                                                              Dunham,
 Timothy M. (CID) (FBI)(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) MacAllister, Hope A. (ATF)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                   Celaya, Kayla (USMS) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                                                                     Mills, Meredith
 (USMS) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                        Luckstone, Charlotte (USMS) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
 Kelly, Richard (USMS)(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                                  (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F
                                                                                   @DEA.USDOJ.GOV>:
 Kelly, Stephen D. (OGC) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)Coburn, Jeffrey L. (OTD) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
 Gleason, Robert (Chris) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
                                          @usdoj.gov>; Kaye, Ryan S. (ATF) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6)
                        Nance, Tennille (JMD) (b) (6)
 Cc: Kumar, Amit (JMD) (b) (6)
                                                   Vanderplow, Paul D (ATF)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                   Dickinson, Lisa (USMS) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                                                                         Perese, Dogan
 A. (ITADD) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Behning, Roy T. (OTD) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                                                                        Flowers,
Christopher R. (CID) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Ramsay, Sara (RPO) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(F)
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F)
                     @dea.usdoj.gov>; Leverty, Scott (JMD)(b) (6)
                                                                                        Fortuno, Jose A.
 (ITADD) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Carley, Jason J. (CID) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Nieboer, Chad (USMS)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                              Rau, Christine (USMS) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                                                                Viswanathan, Prakash
(USMS)(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
 Subject: BWC Evaluation: Process for Developing Evaluation Priorities and Data Collection Opportunities
```

We want to thank everyone for participating in today's discussion. Attached are the slides from today. Please let us know who you're 2 or 3 component POCs are for the BWC evaluation. We will be in touch

with them to schedule your component specific meeting after the July 4th week. In the meantime, please feel free to reach out to me on any issues in developing your component specific and/or department evaluation questions and metrics.

Thanks again, Robin