DISTRICT COURT, CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER, STATE OF COLORADO

1437 Bannock St. Denver, CO 80203

## **Petitioners:**

NORMA ANDERSON, MICHELLE PRIOLA, CLAUDINE CMARADA, KRISTA KAFER, KATHI WRIGHT, and CHRISTOPHER CASTILIAN,

v.

**Respondents:** 

JENA GRISWOLD, in her official capacity as Colorado Secretary of State, and DONALD J. TRUMP,

and

**Intervenor:** 

COLORADO REPUBLICAN STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE.

▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲

DATE FILED: September 22, 2023 4:31 PM CASE NUMBER: 2023CV32577

Case Number: 2023CV032577

Division/Courtroom: 209

## PROTECTIVE ORDER

- A. Whereas, Petitioners have brought this lawsuit to prevent the Secretary of State from putting Donald J. Trump on the presidential primary ballot in Colorado because he is not qualified to hold office under Section 3 of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the United States Constitution;
- B. Whereas, Respondent Trump, and Intervenor Colorado Republican Party, dispute Petitioners' allegations and oppose the relief sought by Petitioners;

C. Whereas, this Court intends to conduct these proceedings in an orderly and peaceful manner, with respect for all litigants, witnesses, and counsel, and seeks to ensure that all participants here, including Petitioners, Respondents, Intervenors, their counsel, and all witnesses, can participate freely and without experiencing any threats, harassment, or acts of intimidation.

Therefore, the Court issues the following Order:

- No party, including counsel for that party, shall take any action against any other
  party, counsel for such other party, or any witness that could reasonably be
  construed as a threat, intimidation, or act of harassment intended to coerce,
  compel, or adversely influence any such party, counsel, or witness from fully and
  freely participating in these legal proceedings.
- 2. No party, or counsel for such party, shall engage in any conduct that could reasonably be construed as causing any party, counsel, or witness to, in good faith, fear for their personal safety or the safety of their family.
- 3. No party, or counsel for such party, may make, issue, or cause to be issued any statement to the media or in public settings, including through social media, that pose a substantial likelihood of material prejudice to this case. This prohibition extends to any party or counsel causing surrogates to make such statements on their behalf.

4. This prohibition prevents no one, or their attorneys or agents from (a) quoting or

referring without comment to public records, (b) announcing the scheduling or

result of any stage in the judicial process, (c) requesting assistance in obtaining

evidence, or (d) announcing without further comment that any party disagrees with

the claims made by other parties.

5. To the extent that any party, or counsel for such party, violates this Protective

Order by engaging in any conduct described in paragraphs 1-4 above, this Court

shall have the power to enter any order that it deems necessary to cure any such

violations of this Protective Order, or to prevent any further violations of this

Protective Order.

6. This Court's power under paragraph 5, above, shall include, but not be limited to:

(1) holding in contempt of court any party or counsel who commit any such

violations; (2) ordering the payment of monetary sanctions; (3) imposing

evidentiary or issue sanctions; (4) any combination of the above; and (5) any other

remedy which is fair, just and appropriate to effectuate the spirit and intent of this

Protective Order.

DATED: September 22, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

Sarah B. Wallace District Court Judge

Saran & Wallace