

DISTRICT COURT, CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER, COLORADO

1437 Bannock St.
Denver, CO 80203

DATE FILED: November 10, 2023 3:53 PM

Petitioners:

NORMA ANDERSON, MICHELLE PRIOLA,
CLAUDINE CMARADA, KRISTA KAUFER,
KATHI WRIGHT, and CHRISTOPHER
CASTILIAN,

v.

Respondent:

JENA GRISWOLD, in her official capacity as
Colorado Secretary of State,

and

Intervenor:

COLORADO REPUBLICAN STATE CENTRAL
COMMITTEE, and DONALD J. TRUMP.

▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲

Attorneys for Petitioners:

Mario Nicolais, Atty. Reg. # 38589
KBN Law, LLC

[REDACTED]

Martha M. Tierney, Atty. Reg. # 27521
Tierney Lawrence Stiles LLC

[REDACTED]

Eric Olson, Atty. Reg. # 36414
Sean Grimsley, Atty. Reg. # 36422
Jason Murray, Atty. Reg. # 43652
Olson Grimsley Kawanabe Hinchcliff & Murray LLC

[REDACTED]

Case Number: 2023CV032577

Division/Courtroom: 209

[REDACTED]

Donald Sherman*
Nikhel Sus*
Jonathan Maier*
Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington

[REDACTED]

**Appearing pro hac vice*

**PETITIONERS' RESPONSE TO COLORADO REPUBLICAN STATE CENTRAL
COMMITTEE'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY**

The Minnesota Supreme Court's decision in *Growe v. Simon* addresses two matters before this Court.

First, Petitioners here assert that Colorado law requires the Secretary of State to exclude constitutionally ineligible candidates from the presidential primary ballot. *Growe* reviewed *Minnesota* law and found that it did not have a similar requirement but, instead, the political parties “alone determine which candidates will be on the party’s ballot.” *Id.* at 3 (quoting *De La Fuente v. Simon*, 940 N.W.2d 477, 492 (Minn. 2020)). The *Growe* court held that, in Minnesota, “there is no state statute that prohibits a major political party from placing on the presidential nomination primary ballot . . . a candidate who is ineligible to hold office.” *Id.* at 2-3. This differs from the Colorado procedure, as explained by testimony from Ms. Rudy, where under Colorado law the Secretary of State excludes constitutionally ineligible candidates from the ballot. 11/1/23 Tr. 99:11-16; 105:5-12.

Second, the Minnesota Supreme Court held that the petitioners there had standing to bring a challenge to Trump's inclusion on the primary ballot and that “petitioners’ other claims regarding

the general election are not ripe.” *Id.* at 3. The State Party’s claim that the Court held “that plaintiffs lacked standing to disqualify President Trump from the November 5, 2024, general election” is not accurate. Notice ¶1. The Court instead held that its dismissal was “without prejudice as to petitioners bringing a petition raising their claims as to the general election” if those claims became ripe. *Grove* at 3.

Date: November 10, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Eric Olson

Eric Olson, Atty. Reg. # 36414

Sean Grimsley, Atty. Reg. # 36422

Jason Murray, Atty. Reg. # 43652

Olson Grimsley Kawanabe Hinchcliff & Murray LLC

[REDACTED]

Mario Nicolais, Atty. Reg. # 38589

KBN Law, LLC

[REDACTED]

Martha M. Tierney, Atty. Reg. # 27521

Tierney Lawrence Stiles LLC

[REDACTED]


Donald Sherman*

Nikhel Sus*

Jonathan Maier*

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington

[REDACTED]



*Appearing *pro hac vice*

Counsel for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I served this document on November 10, 2023, by Colorado Courts E-filing and/or via electronic mail as follows:

Michael T. Kotlarczyk
Grant T. Sullivan
LeeAnn Morrill
Colorado Attorney General's Office



Attorneys for Secretary of State Jena Griswold in her official capacity as Colorado Secretary of State

Scott E. Gessler
Geoffrey N. Blue
Justin T North
Gessler Blue LLC
gblue@gesslerblue.com
jnorth@gesslerblue.com
sgessler@gesslerblue.com

Attorneys for Donald J. Trump

Michael William Melito
Melito Law LLC
melito@melitolaw.com

Robert Alan Kitsmiller
Podoll & Podoll, P.C.
bob@podoll.net

Benjamin Sisney
American Center for Law and Justice
bsisney@aclj.org

Attorneys for Intervenor Colorado Republican State Central Committee

/s/ Eric Olson
Counsel for Petitioners