

CREW | citizens for responsibility and ethics in washington

February 28, 2019

BY EMAIL: adam.glantz@HUD.gov

Adam Glantz
FOIA Officer
Office of Regional Administrator
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
26 Federal Plaza, Suite 3541
New York, New York 10278-0068

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Mr. Glantz:

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (“CREW”) makes this request for records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (“FOIA”), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) regulations.

First, CREW requests copies of all communications between HUD Regional Administrator Lynne M. Patton and any other HUD official pertaining to Ms. Patton’s appearance at and tweet about the February 27, 2019 House Committee on Oversight and Reform’s Hearing With Michael Cohen, Former Attorney to President Donald Trump.

Second, CREW requests copies of all communications between HUD Regional Administrator Lynne M. Patton and any Member of Congress or congressional staffer pertaining to Ms. Patton’s appearance at and tweet about the February 27, 2019 at the House Committee on Oversight and Reform’s Hearing With Michael Cohen, Former Attorney to President Donald Trump.

Third, CREW requests copies of all records pertaining to Ms. Patton’s travel to Washington, D.C. on approximately February 26, 2019, including, *inter alia*, any and all records Ms. Patton submitted requesting authorization to travel to Washington, D.C. and all records reflecting the payment or reimbursement for her travel, lodging, and meals during her time in Washington, D.C.

Fourth, CREW requests copies of all records confirming that Ms. Patton was on official leave during her congressional appearance.

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations,

or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to emails and other records, as well as those who were cc'ed or bcc'ed on any emails.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, CREW requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A) and HUD regulations, CREW requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures likely will contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by CREW and the general public in a significant way. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). Moreover, the request primarily and fundamentally is for non-commercial purposes. *See, e.g., McClellan Ecological v. Carlucci*, 835 F.2d 1282, 1285 (9th Cir. 1987).

On February 27, 2019, during a hearing before the House Oversight Committee, Rep. Mark Meadows drew attention to Ms. Patton, who was standing behind him, while he challenged Michael Cohen's testimony regarding President Trump that "Mr. Trump is a racist."¹ Rep. Meadows stated that he asked Ms. Patton to attend the hearing "in her personal capacity," although both Meadows and Cohen referenced her work for President Trump.² Prior to the hearing, Ms. Patton had said she was in Washington for HUD meetings.³ She also tweeted from her @Lynn Patton Twitter account stating: "I am honored to be the special guest of

¹ *See, e.g., Tracy Jan, Why did a GOP congressman invite this HUD official to stand behind him at the Michael Cohen hearing?*, *Washington Post*, Feb. 27, 2019, available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/pb/business/2019/02/27/why-did-gop-congressman-invite-this-hud-official-stand-behind-him-michael-cohen-hearing/?nid=menu_nav_accessibilityforscreenreader&outputType=accessibility&utm_term=.386d08a97b56; *see also* Testimony of Michael D. Cohen before the Committee on Oversight and Reform, U.S. House of Representatives Feb. 27, 2019, available at https://oversight.house.gov/sites/democrats.oversight.house.gov/files/Michael%20Cohen%20Opening%20Statement_Oversight%20Committee_02.27.19%5B4%5D%5B6%5D.pdf.

² *See, e.g., Tracy Jan, Why did a GOP congressman invite this HUD official to stand behind him at the Michael Cohen hearing?*, *Washington Post*, Feb. 27, 2019, available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/pb/business/2019/02/27/why-did-gop-congressman-invite-this-hud-official-stand-behind-him-michael-cohen-hearing/?nid=menu_nav_accessibilityforscreenreader&outputType=accessibility&utm_term=.386d08a97b56.

³ *Id.*

@RepMarkMeadows today.”⁴ Reportedly HUD employees were “confused” about her hearing appearance, as they “were expecting her to show up to work Wednesday,” although Ms. Patton claimed to have taken official leave on that day.⁵ Following Ms. Patton’s appearance at the Oversight Committee hearing, she retweeted a picture of herself having lunch at that Trump International Hotel in Washington, D.C.⁶

Ms. Patton’s appearance at the hearing has generated extensive controversy, including accusations that she served as a “prop” for Rep. Meadows in his attempt to counteract Mr. Cohen’s testimony about the President’s alleged “racist” statements and conducts.⁷ The requested records would shed light on the extent to which HUD was knowledgeable about and may even have approved her appearance at the hearing for these purposes, and the truthfulness of the claims by Ms. Patton, a high-ranking HUD official, that she was on official leave that day, notwithstanding the reported surprise of HUD employees that she was not at work that day.

CREW is a non-profit corporation, organized under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. CREW is committed to protecting the public’s right to be aware of the activities of government officials, to ensuring the integrity of those officials, and to highlighting and working to reduce the influence of money on politics. CREW uses a combination of research, litigation, and advocacy to advance its mission. CREW intends to analyze the information responsive to this request and to share its analysis with the public through reports, press releases, or other means. In addition, CREW will disseminate any documents it acquires from this request to the public through its website, www.citizensforethics.org. The release of information obtained through this request is not in CREW’s financial interest.

CREW further requests that it not be charged search or review fees for this request pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) because CREW qualifies as a member of the news media. *See Nat’l Sec. Archive v. U.S. Dep’t of Defense*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1386 (D.C. Cir. 1989) (holding non-profit a “representative of the news media” and broadly interpreting the term to include “any person or organization which regularly publishes or disseminates information to the public”).

CREW routinely and systematically disseminates information to the public in several ways. CREW’s website receives tens of thousands of page views every month. The website includes blogposts that report on and analyze newsworthy developments regarding government ethics, corruption, and money in politics, as well as numerous reports CREW has published to educate the public about these issues. In addition, CREW posts documents it receives under the FOIA on its website, which has been visited hundreds of thousands of times.

⁴ Lynn Patton (@LynnPatton), Twitter (Feb. 27, 2019)
<https://twitter.com/LynnePatton/status/1100773855126274048>.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ Armstrong Williams (@Arightside), Twitter (Feb. 27, 2019)
<https://twitter.com/Arightside/status/1100844145453793280> (retweeted by @LynnePatton on Feb. 27, 2019).

⁷ Jan, *Washington Post*, Feb. 27, 2019.

Adam Glantz
February 28, 2019
Page 4

Under these circumstances, CREW satisfies fully the criteria for a fee waiver.

Conclusion

If you have any questions about this request or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at (202) 408-5565 or aweismann@citizensforethics.org. Also, if CREW's request a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact our office immediately upon making such a determination.

Where possible, please produce records in electronic format. Please send the requested records to me either at aweismann@citizensforethics.org or Anne L. Weismann, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington, 1101 K Street, N.W., N.W, Suite 201, Washington, D.C. 20005. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,



Anne L. Weismann
Chief FOIA Counsel