



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Information Policy
Suite 11050
1425 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Telephone: (202) 514-3642

July 2, 2018

Ms. Anne L. Weismann
CREW
455 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
aweismann@citizensforethics.org

Re: DOJ-2018-002590 (AG)
DOJ-2018-001537 (DAG)
DOJ-2018-001538 (ASG)
DOJ-2018-001539 (PAO)
DOJ-2018-001540 (OLA)
18-cv-00007 (D.D.C.)
VRB:BPF

Dear Ms. Weismann:

This is a final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated and received in this Office on December 13, 2017, in which you requested various records concerning a meeting with reporters held on December 12, 2017. This response is made on behalf of the Offices of the Attorney General (OAG), Deputy Attorney General (ODAG), Associate Attorney General (OASG), Public Affairs (PAO), and Legislative Affairs (OLA).

On April 30, 2018, June 1, 2018, and June 29, 2018, we provided you with interim responses to your request. We have now completed our work on the remaining material containing records responsive to your request, totaling thirty-four pages.

I have determined that twenty-seven pages containing records responsive to your request are appropriate for release with excisions made pursuant to Exemptions 5, 6, and 7(C) of the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5), (b)(6), and (b)(7)(C), and copies are enclosed. Additionally, seven pages are being withheld in full pursuant to Exemption 5 of the FOIA. Exemption 5 pertains to certain inter- and intra-agency communications protected by civil discovery privileges. Exemption 6 pertains to information the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of third parties. Exemption 7(C) pertains to records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, the release of which could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of third parties. Please note that duplicative records have not been processed, and are marked accordingly.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2012 & Supp. IV 2016). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

If you have any questions regarding this response, please contact Vinita Andrapalliyal of the Department's Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch, at 202-305-0845.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'V-R-B' followed by a horizontal flourish.

Vanessa R. Brinkmann
Senior Counsel

Enclosures

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

January 3, 2017

The Honorable Rod J. Rosenstein
Deputy Attorney General
United States Department of Justice

Dear Deputy Attorney General Rosenstein,

The Committee on the Judiciary held a hearing on "Oversight Hearing with Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein" on Wednesday, December 13, 2017 in room 2141 of the Rayburn House Office Building. Thank you for your testimony.

Questions for the record have been submitted to the Committee within five legislative days of the hearing. The questions addressed to you are attached. We will appreciate a full and complete response as they will be included in the official hearing record.

Please submit your written answers to the Committee by Thursday, February 22, 2018 via email or postal mail to the Committee on the Judiciary, Attention: Alley Adcock, 2138 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC, 20515. If you have any further questions or concerns, please contact Alley Adcock on my staff at (b) (6) or by email: (b) (6).

Thank you again for your participation in the hearing.

Sincerely,



Bob Goodlatte
Chairman

Enclosure

Questions for the Record
Submitted by Rep. Jamie Raskin

1. As you know, the Constitution in Article, I, Section 8, Clause 9 provides that: no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under the [United States], shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State. During the December 13, 2017 oversight hearing at which you testified, we had a colloquy about the lawsuit filed last year by over 200 Members of Congress against President Trump alleging violations of the Emoluments clause, Richard Blumenthal, et al. v. Donald J. Trump. The DOJ has argued that the Plaintiffs (of whom I am one) cannot bring an action to stop personal receipt by the President of foreign government payments without receiving Congressional permission because this is a nonjusticiable “political question.” What then is the proper remedy for a President who is collecting foreign government Emoluments but refusing to seek Congressional authorization? What must Congress do to enforce this Constitutional prohibition?

2. During the December 13, 2017 oversight hearing at which you testified, I asked about the DOJ’s highly unusual distribution of text messages between agents Peter Strzok and Lisa Page in advance of the hearing. Subsequently, Ranking Member Nadler, Representative Jeffries, and I sent a letter to the Department of Justice and the Office of the Inspector General seeking clarification of your answer, to which a timely response was received. In follow-up to these inquiries, it remains unclear to me who within the Department of Justice released the texts to a select group of news reporters prior to Congress having the opportunity to review same. Accordingly, please identify the person or persons within the Department of Justice who invited reporters to review the subject text messages on the evening of December 12, 2017 in advance of the hearing before the Committee on the Judiciary.

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 10:17 AM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Subject: Fwd: Redaction question

(b) (5)

Begin forwarded message:

From: (b) (6)
Date: December 18, 2017 at 8:02:29 AM CST
To: "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)" <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Redaction question

Sarah,
Regarding the FBI texts, can you help me understand why my name was included in the texts when others (including my wife's) were redacted? I don't mind the commentary — I've been called worse than schlubby — but I didnt understand the rationale given the others who were not included.

Thanks,

(b) (6)

Kellner, Kenneth E. (OLA)

From: Kellner, Kenneth E. (OLA)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 10:02 AM
To: Boyd, Stephen E. (OLA); Escalona, Prim F. (OLA); Tyson, Jill C. (OLA); Lasseter, David F. (OLA); Hankey, Mary Blanche (OLA)
Subject: FW: OIG Response to December 14, 2017 Letter from Ranking Member Nadler, Vice Ranking Member Raskin, and Congressman Jeffries
Attachments: Nadler Raskin Response Letter.pdf

OIG letter to RM Nader and two HJC Minority members concerning the FBI employee text messages.

From: Lee, Rene R. (OIG)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 6:01 PM
To: Kellner, Kenneth E. (OLA) <kkellner@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Sheehan, Matthew (ODAG) <msheehan@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: (b) (6) (OIG) (b) (6); Garcia, Yvonne (OIG) <ygarcia@OIG.USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: OIG Response to December 14, 2017 Letter from Ranking Member Nadler, Vice Ranking Member Raskin, and Congressman Jeffries

Attached please find the Inspector General's response to December 14, 2017 letter from Ranking Member Nadler, Vice Ranking Member Raskin, and Congressman Jeffries. The Deputy Attorney General is copied on the letter.

Thank you,
René

RENE ROCQUE LEE
Senior Counsel to the Inspector General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 4706
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
Office: 202-616-0643
Cell: (b) (6)
Rene.r.lee@usdoj.gov



U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Inspector General

December 15, 2017

The Honorable Jarrold Nadler
Ranking Member
Committee on the Judiciary
U.S. House of Representatives
2109 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Jamie Raskin
Vice Ranking Member
Committee on the Judiciary
U.S. House of Representatives
431 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Hakeem Jeffries
U.S. House of Representatives
1607 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Ranking Member Nadler, Vice Ranking Member Raskin, and Congressman Jeffries:

Thank you for your letter dated December 14, 2017, requesting information regarding whether the Department of Justice (the Department) consulted with the Office of Inspector General (OIG) before sharing text messages between FBI employees Peter Strzok and Lisa Page with Congress and the media. Our responses to the questions presented in your letter are set forth below.

1. A spokeswoman for the Department suggested that “career Justice officials evaluated the messages...to be sure that they could be released ‘under both ethical and legal standards.’” Did the Department consult with your office prior to producing these text messages to Congress?

At a hearing on November 15, 2017, before the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, I testified that the OIG had no objection to the Department providing to Congress pre-existing Department records in its custody in response to a Congressional oversight request. I noted that the Department would need to determine whether there were any restrictions, such as those affecting grand jury information, that limited its ability to produce certain

records to Congress. I conveyed this position to the Department as well. The Department did not consult with the OIG in order to determine whether releasing the text messages met applicable ethical and legal standards before providing them to Congress.

2. Did the Department consult with your office prior to sharing these text messages with the press?

The Department did not consult with the OIG before sharing the text messages with the press.

If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me or Greg Sabina, my Advisor for Legislative Affairs, at (202) 514-3435.

Sincerely,



Michael E. Horowitz
Inspector General

cc: The Honorable Robert Goodlatte
Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Trey Gowdy
Chairman, House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform

The Honorable Elijah Cummings
Ranking Member, House Committee on Oversight and
Government Reform

The Honorable John Culberson
Chairman, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related
Agencies, House Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Jose Serrano
Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and
Related Agencies, House Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Charles Grassley
Chairman, Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
Ranking Member, Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and
Governmental Affairs

The Honorable Claire McCaskill
Ranking Member, Senate Committee on Homeland Security and
Governmental Affairs

The Honorable Richard Shelby
Chairman, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related
Agencies, Senate Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Jeanne Shaheen
Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and
Related Agencies, Senate Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Rod J. Rosenstein
Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice

Schools, Scott (ODAG)

From: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 7:47 PM
To: Hur, Robert (ODAG)
Subject: FW: OIG response to House
Attachments: Nadler Raskin Response Letter.pdf

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 7:41 PM
To: Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG) <zterwilliger@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Hur, Robert (ODAG) <rhur@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Bolitho, Zachary (ODAG) <zbolitho@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: OIG response to House

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 7:40 PM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Subject: FW: OIG response to House
Attachments: Nadler Raskin Response Letter.pdf

Schools, Scott (ODAG)

From: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 8:05 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Subject: RE: Letter to DOJ IG re: FBI Text Messages

That's fine.

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 7:56 PM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Letter to DOJ IG re: FBI Text Messages

The Chairman and Ranking Members of each of the congressional committees were provided the opportunity to have copies of the texts delivered to their offices. This was completed before any member of the media was given access to view the same copy of the texts by the Department's Office of Public Affairs. As we understand now, some members of the media had already received copies of the texts before that—but those disclosures were not authorized by the Department.

As the Deputy Attorney General said in this testimony on Wednesday, when the initial inquiries came from committees and members of Congress, the Deputy Attorney General consulted with the Inspector General, and the Inspector General determined that he had no objection to the Department's providing the material to the Congressional committees that had requested it. After that consultation, senior career ethics advisors determined that there were no legal or ethical concerns, including under the Privacy Act, that prohibited the release of the information to the public either by members of congress or by the Department.

xxx

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

From: Darren Samuelsohn [<mailto:dsamuelsohn@politico.com>]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 7:07 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: FW: Letter to DOJ IG re: FBI Text Messages

Duplicative



Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 4:06 PM
To: Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG); Hur, Robert (ODAG); Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Subject: text release--we're working on it

Several of our beat reporters have been pushing back now—this is ABC:

<https://twitter.com/MLevineReports/status/941025818691751936>

Mike Levine **Verified account** @MLevineReports 2h2 hours ago

Deputy Atty General says reporters last night were shown anti-Trump text messages between FBI colleagues. Some are trying to paint this as "extraordinary" or extremely unusual move. Just for record, Obama DOJ did it too. I know 'cause I was there.

Mike Levine **Verified account** @MLevineReports 2h2 hours ago

Obama DOJ also invited reporters to DOJ to show them documents being sent to Congress.

Natasha Bertrand **Verified account** @NatashaBertrand 1h1 hour ago

Were those documents the subject of an ongoing OIG investigation?

Mike Levine **Verified account** @MLevineReports

Related to an ongoing OIG investigation? Yep.

Sarah Isgur Flores
Director of Public Affairs
202.305.5808

Hur, Robert (ODAG)

From: Hur, Robert (ODAG)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 12:18 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA); Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Cc: Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG); Prior, Ian (OPA)
Subject: RE: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and media

(b) (5)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 12:17 PM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG) <zterwilliger@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Hur, Robert (ODAG) <rhur@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and media

(b) (5)

On Dec 13, 2017, at 12:14 PM, Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)

From: Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 12:03 PM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Hur, Robert (ODAG) <rhur@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and media

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

On Dec 13, 2017, at 11:57 AM, Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)

On Dec 13, 2017, at 11:49 AM, Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 11:42 AM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG) <zterwilliger@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and

media

(b) (5)

Begin forwarded message:

From: <Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com>

Date: December 13, 2017 at 11:27:46 AM EST

To: <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>,
<Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Strzok emails

<http://www.businessinsider.com/peter-strzok-page-texts-mueller-russia-trump-2017-12>

So this story says that DoJ invited reporters to your offices yesterday night to give them access to private text messages exchanged between Peter Strzok and Lisa Page. The story says that this material was originally obtained by DoJ as part of an investigation by Justice Department IG into how the FBI handled its inquiry into Hillary Clinton's use of a private email server while she was Secretary of State. Isn't it quite unorthodox, if not unethical or even illegal, for DoJ to deliberately make public or leak evidence collected in an IG investigation? Who is it who ultimately authorized or instructed DoJ to allow journalists to see this evidence? Was AG Sessions involved? Was the White House involved or was anyone in the White House consulted? We might be writing a story about this today so your quick response most welcome. Many thanks indeed. mh

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 11:57 AM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Cc: Prior, Ian (OPA); Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG)
Subject: Re: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and media

(b) (5)

On Dec 13, 2017, at 11:49 AM, Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov> wrote:

(b) (5)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 11:42 AM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG) <zterwilliger@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and media

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

Begin forwarded message:

From: <Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com>
Date: December 13, 2017 at 11:27:46 AM EST
To: <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>, <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Strzok emails

<http://www.businessinsider.com/peter-strzok-page-texts-mueller-russia-trump-2017-12>

So this story says that DoJ invited reporters to your offices yesterday night to give them access to private text messages exchanged between Peter Strzok and Lisa Page. The story says that this material was originally obtained by DoJ as part of an investigation by Justice Department IG into how the FBI handled its inquiry into Hillary Clinton's use of a private email server while she was Secretary of State. Isn't it quite unorthodox, if not unethical or even illegal, for DoJ to deliberately

make public or leak evidence collected in an IG investigation ? Who is it who ultimately authorized or instructed DoJ to allow journalists to see this evidence ? Was AG Sessions involved ? Was the White House involved or was anyone in the White House consulted ? We might be writing a story about this today so your quick response most welcome. Many thanks indeed. mh

Schools, Scott (ODAG)

From: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 11:49 AM
To: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Cc: Prior, Ian (OPA); Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and media

(b) (5)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 11:42 AM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: Prior, Ian (OPA) <IPrior@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG) <zterwilliger@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and media

(b) (5)

Begin forwarded message:

From: <Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com>
Date: December 13, 2017 at 11:27:46 AM EST
To: <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>, <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Strzok emails

<http://www.businessinsider.com/peter-strzok-page-texts-mueller-russia-trump-2017-12>

So this story says that DoJ invited reporters to your offices yesterday night to give them access to private text messages exchanged between Peter Strzok and Lisa Page. The story says that this material was originally obtained by DoJ as part of an investigation by Justice Department IG into how the FBI handled its inquiry into Hillary Clinton's use of a private email server while she was Secretary of State. Isn't it quite unorthodox, if not unethical or even illegal, for DoJ to deliberately make public or leak evidence collected in an IG investigation ? Who is it who ultimately authorized or instructed DoJ to allow journalists to see this evidence ? Was AG Sessions involved ? Was the White House involved or was anyone in the White House consulted ? We might be writing a story about this today so your quick response most welcome. Many thanks indeed. mh

Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)

From: Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 11:42 AM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Cc: Prior, Ian (OPA); Terwilliger, Zachary (ODAG)
Subject: Proposed statement on release of texts to congress and media

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Begin forwarded message:

From: <Mark.Hosenball@thomsonreuters.com>
Date: December 13, 2017 at 11:27:46 AM EST
To: <Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov>, <Ian.Prior@usdoj.gov>
Subject: Strzok emails

<http://www.businessinsider.com/peter-strzok-page-texts-mueller-russia-trump-2017-12>

So this story says that DoJ invited reporters to your offices yesterday night to give them access to private text messages exchanged between Peter Strzok and Lisa Page. The story says that this material was originally obtained by DoJ as part of an investigation by Justice Department IG into how the FBI handled its inquiry into Hillary Clinton's use of a private email server while she was Secretary of State. Isn't it quite unorthodox, if not unethical or even illegal, for DoJ to deliberately make public or leak evidence collected in an IG investigation ? Who is it who ultimately authorized or instructed DoJ to allow journalists to see this evidence ? Was AG Sessions involved ? Was the White House involved or was anyone in the White House consulted ? We might be writing a story about this today so your quick response most welcome. Many thanks indeed. mh

Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)

From: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Sent: Thursday, January 4, 2018 12:41 PM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Subject: RE: FBI Text Messages - Memo to File
Attachments: 2017-12-12 - Privacy Act Assessment FINAL.docx

Hi Scott,

Here is the final for your records.

Peter

Peter A. Winn
Acting Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer
Director, Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties
United States Department of Justice
National Place Building, Suite 1000
1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20530
Office (b) (6)
Cell (b) (6)
Fax (202) 307-0693
(b) (6)
(b) (6)
<https://www.justice.gov/opcl>

NOTICE: This email (including any attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or the recipient's agent), you are hereby notified that unauthorized dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this email or its contents may violate is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

From: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 8:22 PM
To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL) (b) (6)
Subject: RE: FBI Text Messages - Draft Memo to File

(b) (5)

From: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 7:00 PM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>

Subject: FBI Text Messages - Draft Memo to File

Hi Scott,

(b) (5)

Peter

Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL)

From: Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 6:21 PM
To: (b) (6) (OPCL); Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Subject: RE: Final Draft
Attachments: 2017-12-20 - Privacy Act Assessment Draft (b) (5), (b) (6).docx

Peter, (b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Kathy

From: (b) (6) (OPCL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 6:04 PM
To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL) (b) (6); Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL) <kmharman-stokes@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: RE: Final Draft

(b) (5)

From: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 4:06 PM
To: (b) (6) (OPCL) (b) (6); Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL) <kmharman-stokes@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Subject: Final Draft

(b) (5)

Peter A. Winn
Acting Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer
Director, Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties
United States Department of Justice
National Place Building, Suite 1000
1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20530
Office (b) (6)
Cell (b) (6)
Fax (202) 307-0693
(b) (6)
(b) (6)
<https://www.justice.gov/opcl>

NOTICE: This email (including any attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or the recipient's agent), you are hereby notified that unauthorized dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this email or its contents may violate is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL)

From: Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 5:44 PM
To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Cc: (b) (6) (OPCL)
Subject: RE: Privacy Act assessment - OIG context
Attachments: 2017-12-19 - Privacy Act Assessment - OIG Records (b) (5), (b) (6) .docx
Importance: High

Peter, (b) (5) Happy to discuss further tomorrow.
Kathy

From: Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 4:43 PM
To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL) (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6) (OPCL) (b) (6)
Subject: Privacy Act assessment - OIG context
Importance: High

Peter, (b) (5)
Kathy

(b) (6) (OPCL)

From: (b) (6) (OPCL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 1:02 PM
To: Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL)
Subject: RE: PA assessment - text messages
Attachments: 2017-12-19 - Privacy Act Assessment - OIG Records (b) (5), (b) (6).docx

Kathy,

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

From: Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 12:15 PM
To: (b) (6) (OPCL) (b) (6)
Subject: PA assessment - text messages

(b) (5)

Kathy

(b) (6) (OPCL)

From: (b) (6) (OPCL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2017 9:25 AM
To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL); Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL)
Subject: RE: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)
Attachments: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records Public Disclosure FINAL Review (b) (5), (b) (6).docx

Peter & Kathy,

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

From: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 6:17 PM
To: Harman-Stokes, Katherine M. (OPCL) <kmharman-stokes@jmd.usdoj.gov>; (b) (6) (OPCL)
(b) (6)
Subject: RE: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)

Kathy and (b) (6),

(b) (5)

Peter

From: (b) (6) (OPCL)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 4:58 PM
To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL) (b) (6)
Subject: RE: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)

Peter,

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

From: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 4:40 PM
To: (b) (6) (OPCL) (b) (6)
Subject: RE: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)

(b) (5)

From: (b) (6) (OPCL)

Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 2:49 PM

To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL) (b) (6)

Subject: RE: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)

(b) (5)

From: (b) (6) (OPCL)

Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 2:03 PM

To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL) (b) (6)

Subject: RE: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS // ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Peter,

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Attorney Advisor

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties (OPCL)

National Place Building, Suite 1000

1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20530

(b) (6) (office)

(b) (6) (mobile)

(202) 307-0693 (fax)

(b) (6)

NOTICE: This email (including any attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or the recipient's agent), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/ATTORNEY-CLIENT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED

From: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)

Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 12:56 PM

To: (b) (6) (OPCL) (b) (6)

Subject: RE: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)

(b) (5)

Peter

From: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)

Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 12:39 PM

To: (b) (6) (OPCL) (b) (6)

Subject: RE: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)

(b) (5)

Peter

From: (b) (6) (OPCL)

Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 5:07 PM

To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL) (b) (6)

Subject: FOUO: Privacy Act Assessment--OIG Records & Public Disclosure (12-15-2017)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS // ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Peter,

(b) (5)

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

(b) (6)

Attorney Advisor

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties (OPCL)

National Place Building, Suite 1000

1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20530

(b) (6) (office)

(b) (6) (mobile)

(202) 307-0693 (fax)

(b) (6)

NOTICE: This email (including any attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or the recipient's agent), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS // ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)

From: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 10:46 PM
To: (b) (6) (OPCL)
Subject: Re: OPA Revised Statement

Thanks. I saw this earlier today. Not 100 percent sure what prompted this statement, but it looks like later statements corrected it. We can follow up on Monday.

On Dec 15, 2017, at 7:05 PM, (b) (6) (OPCL) (b) (6) wrote:

?

<http://www.businessinsider.com/doj-says-early-release-of-fbi-agents-texts-was-not-authorized-2017-12>

- According to a DOJ statement, those text messages "were not authorized" for release.

(b) (6)
Attorney Advisor
U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties (OPCL)
National Place Building, Suite 1000
1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20530
(b) (6) (office)
(b) (6) (mobile)
(202) 307-0693 (fax)
(b) (6)

NOTICE: This email (including any attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or the recipient's agent), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this email or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

Schools, Scott (ODAG)

From: Schools, Scott (ODAG)
Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2017 4:47 PM
To: Winn, Peter A. (OPCL)
Subject: FW: Texts Messages
Attachments: Strzok Texts Redacted.pdf

Per our conversation.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) per FBI (INSD) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) per FBI
Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Schools, Scott (ODAG) <sschools@jmd.usdoj.gov>
Cc: McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) per FBI; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) per FBI (DO) (FBI) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) per FBI
Subject: Texts Messages

Mr. Schools,

(b) (5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Let me know if you have any concerns or questions.

Thank you,
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) per FBI
[REDACTED]

Unit Chief
External Audit Management Unit
Inspection Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) per FBI