BY FAX: 301-713-4040

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Public Reference Facility (SOU1000) 1315 East-West Highway (SSMC3) Room 9719 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington ("CREW") makes this request for records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and U.S. Department of Commerce regulations.

Specifically, CREW requests:

- (1) All communications concerning the Statement the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA") issued on September 6, 2019, concerning the information provided to President Trump about the potential impact of Hurricane Dorian on Alabama;
- (2) All documents and communications between August 30, 2019 and the present to, from, between, and among: (a) Chief of Staff Stuart Levenbach; (b) Dr. Neil Jacobs, Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Environmental Observation and Prediction, performing the duties of Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere; (c) Deputy Chief of Staff for Policy Kevin Wheeler; and (d) Deputy Chief of Staff and Director of Communications Julie Kay Roberts regarding President Trump's September 2019 tweet and/or the use of a map indicting the State of Alabama would be hit by Hurricane Dorian; and
- (3) All documents supporting NOAA's statement that "[f]rom Wednesday, August 28, through Monday, September 2, the information provided by NOAA and the National Hurricane Center to President Trump and the wider public demonstrated that tropical-storm-force winds from Hurricane Dorian could impact Alabama."

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to

emails and other records, and any recipients that were bcc'ed or cc'ed on any responsive emails.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, CREW requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A) and Commerce Department regulations, CREW requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures likely will contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by CREW and the general public in a significant way. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). Moreover, the request primarily and fundamentally is for non-commercial purposes. See, e.g., McClellan Ecological v. Carlucci, 835 F.2d 1282, 1285 (9th Cir. 1987).

On September 1, 2019, while the nation was gripped with fear and concern over the path of Hurricane Dorian, President Trump issued a warning on Twitter that Alabama, among other states, could be hit by the hurricane "(much) harder than anticipated." The local Alabama National Weather Service, responding to the false information the President had disseminated, quickly tweeted that "Alabama will NOT see any impacts from #Dorian," and further, "We repeat, no impacts from Hurricane #Dorian will be felt across Alabama." President Trump, however, refused to back off his inaccurate tweet, going so far as to alter an official NOAA map with a Sharpie to falsely show Alabama as in the hurricane's path, which he displayed during a briefing in the Oval Office. The White House also issued a statement from Rear Adm. Peter Brown, a Homeland Security and counterterrorism adviser, who said he had briefed President

¹ Peter Baker and Sarah Mervosh, <u>A Presidential Storm Leaves Forecasters Rebuked</u>, *New York Times*, Sept. 6, 2019, *available at* https://www.nytimes.com/2019/09/06/us/politics/trump-alabama-dorian.html. ² *Id*.

³ Toluse Olorunnipa and Josh Dawsey, <u>"What I said was accurate!": Trump stays fixated on his Alabama error as hurricane pounds the Carolinas</u>, <u>Washington Post</u>, Sept. 5, 2019, <u>available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/what-i-said-was-accurate-trump-stays-fixated-on-his-alabama-error-ashurricane-pounds-the-carolinas/2019/09/05/32597606-cfe7-11e9-8c1c-7c8ee785b855_story.html.</u>

Trump multiple times about Hurricane Dorian and models that showed "possible storm impacts well outside the official forecast cone."

On September 6, 2019, NOAA injected itself into the raging controversy over the President's refusal to back down from his inaccurate claim concerning Alabama as within the hurricane's path. Specifically, NOAA issued the following statement:

From Wednesday, August 28, through Monday, September 2, the information provided by NOAA and the National Hurricane Center to President Trump and the wider public demonstrated that tropical-storm-force winds from Hurricane Dorian could impact Alabama. This is clearly demonstrated by Hurricane Advisories #15 through #41...

The Birmingham National Weather Service's Sunday morning tweet spoke in absolute terms that were inconsistent with probabilities from the best forecast products available at the time.⁵

NOAA's statement has provoked outrage and concern that the agency has forsaken science to provide political cover for President Trump. A former NOAA head and NASA astronaut, Kathryn Sullivan, stated: "[t]he anonymous and disingenuous statement NOAA tweeted out is a major breach of scientific integrity that damages the NWS and stains the agency's leadership." The requested records will shed light on the extent to which NOAA's decision to issue an anonymous statement in support of the President was influenced by political concerns and the extent to which NOAA ignored science and the interests of its own agency and personnel to provide cover for the President's inaccurate statements about the hurricane's path.

CREW is a non-profit corporation, organized under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. CREW is committed to protecting the public's right to be aware of the activities of government officials, to ensuring the integrity of those officials, and to highlighting and working to reduce the influence of money on politics. CREW uses a combination of research, litigation, and advocacy to advance its mission. CREW intends to analyze the information responsive to this request and to share its analysis with the public through reports, press releases, or other means. In addition, CREW will disseminate any documents it acquires from this request to the public through its website, www.citizensforethics.org. The release of information obtained through this request is not in CREW's financial interest.

⁴ Brett Samuels and Morgan Chalfant, <u>White House adviser gives Trump cover on hurricane claim</u>, *The Hill*, Sept. 5, 2019, *available at* https://thehill.com/homenews/administration/460174-white-house-adviser-gives-trump-cover-on-hurricane-forecast.

⁵ The statement is available at https://www.noaa.gov/news/statement-from-noaa.

⁶ Jason Samenow and Andrew Freedman, <u>NOAA's support of Trump over its own scientists provokes uproar in weather community</u>, *Washington Post*, Sept. 7, 2019, *available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/weather/2019/09/07/noaas-support-president-trump-over-its-own-scientists-provokes-mass-uproar-weather-community/.*

CREW further requests that it not be charged search or review fees for this request pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) because CREW qualifies as a member of the news media. See Nat'l Sec. Archive v. U.S. Dep't of Defense, 880 F.2d 1381, 1386 (D.C. Cir. 1989) (holding non-profit a "representative of the news media" and broadly interpreting the term to include "any person or organization which regularly publishes or disseminates information to the public").

CREW routinely and systematically disseminates information to the public in several ways. CREW's website receives tens of thousands of page views every month. The website includes blog posts that report on and analyze newsworthy developments regarding government ethics, corruption, and money in politics, as well as numerous reports CREW has published to educate the public about these issues. In addition, CREW posts documents it receives under the FOIA on its website, and those documents have been visited hundreds of thousands of times.

Under these circumstances, CREW satisfies fully the criteria for a fee waiver.

Conclusion

If you have any questions about this request or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at (202) 408-5565 or aweismann@citizensforethics.org. Also, if CREW's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact our office immediately upon making such a determination.

Where possible, please produce records in electronic format. Please send the requested records to me either at aweismann@citizensforethics.org or Anne L. Weismann, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington, 1101 K St NW, Suite 201, Washington, DC 20005. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely.

Anne L. Weismann Chief FOIA Counsel