

WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF JENNIFER AHEARN, POLICY DIRECTOR
CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICS IN WASHINGTON (CREW)
SUBMITTED TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE
ON HOMELAND SECURITY CONCERNING THE FY 2021 APPROPRIATIONS BILL

March 24, 2020

Chairwoman Roybal-Allard, Ranking Member Fleischmann, and members of the Subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony regarding the FY 2021 Homeland Security Appropriations Bill. My organization, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (CREW), is a non-profit, non-partisan organization committed to protecting the rights of citizens to be informed about government actions and ensuring the integrity of government institutions through increased transparency and accountability. Today I offer proposed bill text and testimony regarding the need to require public access to information pertaining to government-funded, privately operated detention centers ('private prisons').

Private prisons account for 20 percent of the federal prisons and detainee population and 70 percent of all immigration detainees.¹ In 2019, American taxpayers paid \$7.6 million per day for immigrant detention.² In 2019 alone, over \$600 million of obligated spending was dedicated to one of the largest private prison contractors, GEO Group.³ Its largest sub-agency contract is obligated from the budget of the Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).⁴

¹ See Press Release, Sen. Ben Cardin, *Cardin Introduces Legislation to Hold Private Prisons Accountable for treatment of Federal Inmates* (November 5, 2019), available at <https://www.cardin.senate.gov/newsroom/press/release/cardin-introduces-legislation-to-hold-private-prisons-accountable-for-treatment-of-federal-inmates>.

² See generally U.S. Department of Homeland Security, *FY 2019 Budget in Brief (2019)*, available at <https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/DHS%20BIB%202019.pdf>.

³ USAspending.gov, GEO Group, Inc, <https://www.usaspending.gov/#/recipient/b5424b33-e5dd-0b6e-cce2-fc25f764206d-C/latest> (retrieved on March 23, 2020).

⁴ *Id.*

Private prison contractors like GEO Group provide fundamentally the same detention services as government agencies; yet, despite the wholly governmental function they perform and the enormous cost to taxpayers, private prison contractors are not held to the same standards of transparency and accountability as the operators of non-privatized facilities. Relying on their status as private contractors, private prisons operate in the dark, circumventing information access laws like the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), which establishes the public's right to obtain information from government agencies.⁵

The spirit of the FOIA was summarized in the floor speech given by its architect, Congressman John Moss, who began by recognizing that “our system of government is based on the participation of the governed.”⁶ At the core of the FOIA is the acknowledgment that this participation cannot happen in a meaningful way without an informed citizenry. As Congressman Moss went on to say in his floor speech: “[A]s our population grows in numbers it is essential that it also grow in knowledge and understanding. We must remove every barrier to information about—and understanding of—government activities...if the American public is to be adequately equipped to fulfill the ever more demanding role of responsible citizenship.”⁷

Notably, Congressman Moss stressed the importance of accessing information in terms of the public's need to understand government *activities*, which is not limited to those activities performed by government agencies. For example, ICE has not distinguished between its ICE-owned-and-operated facilities and contractor-owned-and-operated facilities in describing its detention management services: “ICE Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) manages

⁵ Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552.

⁶ *History, Art & Archives, U.S. House of Representatives*, “The Freedom of Information Act,” https://history.house.gov/Historical-Highlights/1951-2000/hh_1966_07_04_FOIA/ (March 23, 2020); 112 Cong. Rec. 13007 (1966 Source Book), available at [https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/nsa/foialeghistory/112%20Cong.%20Rec.%2013007%20\(1966%20Source%20Book\).pdf](https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/nsa/foialeghistory/112%20Cong.%20Rec.%2013007%20(1966%20Source%20Book).pdf).

⁷ *Id.*

and oversees the nation's civil immigration detention system, detaining individuals in furtherance of their removal proceedings or to effect their removal from the U.S. after a final order of removal from a federal immigration judge," further providing that "ICE detainees are housed in a variety of facilities across the United States, including...ICE-owned-and-operated facilities...and contractor-owned-and-operated facilities."⁸ The privatization of this government function does not make the function itself any less of a government activity.

Detaining people is an activity that costs American taxpayers billions of dollars and has, in recent years, raised serious concerns about the safety of those whose federal custody is managed by profit-driven corporations that are shielded from public accountability. In a 2016 report on the adequacy of monitoring private prisons, the U.S. Department of Justice's Inspector General's (DOJ IG) office acknowledged its inability to analyze and compare the overall costs of incarceration between government-run prisons and private prisons, further noting that the Bureau of Prisons does not receive a breakdown of costs under their fixed-price contracts.⁹ The report also included cautionary language against drawing the conclusion that contract prisons are run at a lower cost than government-run prisons. This is particularly noteworthy because, for years, proponents of privatizing prisons have relied on the argument that contracting these services will allow for them to be provided at a lower cost, saving American taxpayers' money.¹⁰ Yet, we have no way of knowing whether this is actually true -- not without access to key data that would allow the American public to demand an accounting for this claim.

⁸ U.S. Immigration And Customs Enforcement, *Detention Management*, at <https://web.archive.org/web/20191002032131/https://www.ice.gov/detention-management> (updated September 25, 2019).

⁹ See Department of Justice OIG, *Review of the Federal Bureau of Prisons' Monitoring of Contract Prisons, Evaluations and Inspections Division 16-06 (August 2016)*, available at <https://oig.justice.gov/reports/2016/e1606.pdf>.

¹⁰ See Megan Mumford et al, *The Economics of Private Prisons*, The Hamilton Project (2016), available at https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/es_20161021_private_prisons_economics.pdf.

The inability to verify claims of cost-efficiency has been an ongoing issue with the private prison industry. In 2007, the Government Accountability Office determined that it was infeasible to conduct a sound cost comparison due to a lack of comparable data.¹¹ The Bureau of Prisons justified its inability to provide the necessary data by pointing out that there were no laws or regulations mandating that they maintain that information.¹² They also claimed that collecting the data would drive up the cost of the contracts themselves.¹³

Ongoing government concerns regarding private prisons prompted the reassessment of the federal government's use of the same at the end of the Obama administration. In August of 2016, with questions surrounding costs still unresolved, the DOJ's IG's report found that private prisons also incurred more safety and security incidents per capita than government-run facilities. Thereafter, the Department announced that it would begin phasing out the use of private prisons.

As the members of this subcommittee are well aware, this phase-out plan was never implemented. Within the first 60 days of the Trump Administration, DOJ reversed its plan to phase out private prisons,¹⁴ President Trump signed an executive order calling for resources to build immigration detention facilities,¹⁵ and DHS released a policy stepping up targeted immigration detentions.¹⁶ As a result, the total for obligated spending dedicated to GEO Group in 2019 alone is more than double the amount the U.S. Government obligated to the GEO Group in

¹¹ See GAO, Cost of Prisons: Bureau of Prisons Needs better Data to Assess Alternatives for Acquiring Low and Minimum Security Facilities, GAO-08-6 (Washington, DC: October 2007), available at <https://www.gao.gov/assets/270/267839.pdf>.

¹² Id. at 13.

¹³ Id.

¹⁴ Federal Bureau of Prisons, Memorandum on Use of Private Prisons Rescinded, available at https://www.bop.gov/resources/news/20170224_doj_memo.jsp (updated February 24, 2017).

¹⁵ Exec. Order No. 13767, 82 F.R. 8793 (2017), available at <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-border-security-immigration-enforcement-improvements/>.

¹⁶ See Department of Homeland Security, Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvement Policies, available at <https://www.dhs.gov/publication/implementing-presidents-border-security-and-immigration-enforcement-improvement-policies> (updated May 28, 2019).

2014.¹⁷ Despite this significant increase in spending and continued concerns about conditions, however, contractor-owned-and-operated detention facilities continue to circumvent sunlight laws like the FOIA, leaving appropriators and the public largely in the dark about the efficiency and safety of their operations.

This subcommittee has already exercised its power to impose certain transparency requirements related to ICE's detention management function, including making publicly available data related to detained populations, arrest rates and lengths of stay.¹⁸ In light of remaining, broader concerns regarding cost-efficiency and health and safety conditions of federally funded, privately operated detention facilities, CREW recommends inclusion of the following FOIA-related bill text in the FY 2021 Appropriations Bill:

Any agency that enters into a contract or agreement with, and provides funding to, a nongovernmental entity or state or local government entity for the purposes of incarcerating or detaining Federal prisoners or detainees in non-Federal prison, jail, correctional or detention facility, shall include as a material term in any contract, agreement, or renewal of a contract or agreement with any nongovernmental or governmental entity regarding the incarceration or detention of Federal prisoners or detainees in a non-Federal prison, jail, correctional, or detention facility—(1) that the Agency shall be deemed to have control over all information of the contractor entity related to: A) the incarceration, detention, treatment or condition of federal prisoners or detainees, B) any costs or the expenditure of any funds related to the contract or agreement, and (C) any other information related to the performance of the contract or agreement, (2) that the information identified in paragraph (1) is subject to disclosure pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, (3) that the contractor shall provide access to the information identified in paragraph (1) to any person upon request made pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(3)(A).

Thank you for the opportunity to address the subcommittee.

¹⁷ See Chantal Da Silva, *Trump Administration Has Doubled Private Prison Spending With Most Money Spent on Detaining Immigrants*, Newsweek (September 19, 2019, 1:07pm), <https://www.newsweek.com/u-s-spending-private-prisons-geo-group-increase-immigration-detention-1461067>.

¹⁸ See U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, *Detention Statistics*, at <https://www.ice.gov/detention-management> (updated March 18, 2020).